

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/030,332	02/15/2002	Yuji Ishihara	2599 USOP	5909	
. 75	590 04/19/2004		EXAM	INER	
Mark Chao Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America Inc Suite 500 475 Half Day Road Lincolnshire, IL 60069			CHANG, CELIA C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/030,332	ISHIHARA ET AL.	
Advisory Aution	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Celia Chang	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: see attachment.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		CEILA CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINE GROUP 1200	• •
		, , ,	

Application/Control Number: 10/030,332

Art Unit: 1625

--ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY-

The amendment and arguments presented in paper no. 14, dated Feb. 20, 2004 will not be entered for the following reason:

Applicants amended the specification and claims and argued that "Should the examiner chose to continue to deny the benefit of priority she is kindly requested to specify which parts of the claims are not supported by the priority document"

To gain priority benefit under 35 USC 119, an application must be of the "same" invention as filed in a foreign priority filing (please consult 35 USC 119 statue). Please note that at least to the extend of the instant application wherein amendments were attempted on pages 9-10 of the specification as compared to the certified translation pages 12-13, none of the structural formula as disclosed on pages 9-10 (paragraph bridging) was found and neither were the substituents "include hydroxy group......" (see beginning of the same paragraph) were identical.

Please note that the above discrepancy is not an exhausted listing of the many non-supporting descriptions found between the instant specification and the foreign priority translation. The examiner pointed out the non-identical invention between the instant filing and its claimed priority documents, thus, benefit of priority claiming can not be granted. It is not the Examiner's job to identify every single discrepancy between the two documents. To gain priority benefit, the two inventions must be the "same" i.e. the specification must be identical other than some spelling/grammatical differences.

Not only such issue of identical invention was not resolved, the amendments did not obviate the rejections of record but further contain more new matter was the amendment be entered into the specification.

CEILA CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 (1 25